

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-7038 of 2016**

**Date of Decision: February 27, 2016**

**Tek Ram Dahiya**

**.... Petitioner**

**vs.**

**Meenakshi**

**.... Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

*1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

*2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*

*3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the complaint No.606, dated 14.03.2013 titled as “Mrs. Meenakshi vs Shri Tek Ram Dahiya” filed under Section 138 and 142 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Also challenged the order dated 22.05.2013 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Panipat, vide which the petitioner was summoned to face trial for commission of offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 in the said complaint.

The order dated 22.05.2013 is revisable order. The petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy of revision before the Sessions Court first, where he can raise all the available pleas as raised in the present petition. If such revision is filed within 30 days, the same shall be heard and decided on merits notwithstanding the technicalities of law of limitation.

The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**February 27, 2016**

**sarita**