

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-701 of 2016
Date of Decision: January 11, 2016**

Kosar Jahan & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The Union Territory, Chandigarh & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Bhupinder Banga, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if representation (Annexur P-6) is directed to be decided by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) in a time-bound manner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2) to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law, within two months of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

January 11, 2016
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge