

**THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10315 of 2011

Date of Decision:01/02/2016

Satish Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G. Haryana.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the issues involved in the present writ petition are covered in favour of the petitioners by a judgment of this Court rendered in **CWP No.11254 of 2010** titled as **Neelam Rani versus The State of Haryana and others**, decided on 15.05.2013.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is allowed in terms of **CWP No.11254 of 2010** titled as **Neelam Rani versus The State of Haryana and others**.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

**01.02.2016
rajeev**