

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6955 of 2016

DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 26, 2016

RACHHPAL SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to look into the grievance of petitioner and protect the life and liberty as well as his family members at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that though representation dated August 25, 2015 (Annexure P-1) was moved to SHO, PS City Gurdaspur and subsequent thereto, another representation dated December 16, 2015 has been moved to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur but even a period of more than 2 months has elapsed after submission of the representation (Annexure P-2) but till date no action has been taken.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

4. On the asking of Court, Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab, has

accepted notice on behalf of respondents-State. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

5. The instant petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated December 16, 2015 (Annexure P-2) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

FEBRUARY 26, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE