

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

Criminal Misc. No.M- 6926 of 2016
Date of Decision:-11.05.2016

Priya and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners on account of undue harassment at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 9.

This is the second petition filed by the petitioners seeking protection of their life and liberty. The earlier petition bearing No.CRM-M-2924 of 2016 was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 28.1.2016 passed by this Court, however, with liberty to file a fresh one with better particulars,

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their

family members. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, they have filed this petition for protection.

Notice of motion having been issued to the respondents, learned counsel for the State has filed reply/status report on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4, by way of affidavit of Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Sandhu, Addl. Deputy Commissioner of Police, West, Jalandhar, along with the Statements of private respondents No. 5 to 9. The same are taken on record.

A perusal of the said statements of respondents No. 4 to 9 so attached with the reply, reveals that they have not given any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners and have no objection to the matrimonial alliance.

In view of the above, without commenting upon the validity of marriage and taking into consideration the said reply as well as the statements made by private respondents No. 4 to 9, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and same is accordingly disposed of.

11.05.2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE