

266

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6920 of 2016

Date of decision: July 22, 2016

Jaswinder Singh @ Laddi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. T.V.S. Lehal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejit Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Nippun Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), Hoshiarpur filed by learned State counsel, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The complainant as well as the petitioner have entered into a compromise (Annexure P-3). Now, learned counsel for the petitioner, namely Mr. T.V.S. Lehal, Advocate as well as complaint, namely Nippun Sharma, Advocate state that the compromise has taken place between the parties and therefore, FIR in question be quashed.

Statement is accepted.

In view of the decision made in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another** 2012 (4) RCR (Crl.) 543, FIR No.115 dated 22.06.2003, under Sections 323, 325, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Hariana, District Hoshiarpur stands quashed in terms of compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

July 22, 2016