

260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8111 of 2014

Date of decision: September 20, 2016

Amit Ghambir and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

I have perused the compromise deed (Annexure P-5) filed by the parties.

Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant is present and states that indeed compromise has been taken place by way of compromise. Apart, this Court had directed the parties for recording statements before the Illaqa Magistrate. Now, there is a report from the JMIC, Amritsar stating that statements were recorded and the compromise is found to be genuine.

In that view of the matter, there is no point in continuing the proceedings of FIR in question in view of the compounding/compromise.

Hence, FIR No.71 dated 19.11.2010, under Sections 379, 420, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station D Division, District Amritsar, stands quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

September 20, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No