

**130 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-6866 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 26, 2016

Subhash Chand Sharma

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Bijender Dhankar, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 15.02.2016 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Rewari, affirming the order dated 24.12.2015 passed by learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rewari, vide which the request of the petitioner to examine some witnesses in defence was declined.

The short prayer made in the present petition is that both the courts below have disallowed to summon certain witnesses including Chief General Manager, State Bank of India, Sector-5, Panchkula. He presses the present petition qua the summoning of said Chief General Manager, State Bank of India.

The dispute is that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is that he sold the land showing that it is free from encumbrance whereas the land was under mortgage.

According to the petitioner, the land of the petitioner was not under mortgage. If it is so, the same can be proved by producing

the copy of revenue record. The statement of Chief General Manager of State Bank of India is not necessary. Therefore, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned orders passed by both the courts below.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

February 26, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE