

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(i) CRM-M-6795-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.10.2016

Ranvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(ii) CRM-M-33135-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.10.2016

Palvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Raghubir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petitions, which are being disposed by this single order, have been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.1 dated 04.01.2016, registered under Section 379-B(II) of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Maqsudan, Distt. Jalandhar.

Contents that the petitioner are not named in the FIR. They have been implicated on the basis of supplementary statement recorded on 07.1.2016, i.e. after three days of the alleged occurrence. The similarly situated co-accused Jaspreet Singh, has been admitted to bail by this Court.

ATUL SETHI
2016.10.26 18:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

The petitioners are behind the bars since 08.01.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits that the petitioners and their co-accused waylaid one Lucky working at the petrol pump and the bag containing an amount of Rupees four lacs was taken away. An amount of Rs.83,000/- was recovered from petitioner Ranvir Singh, whereas Rs.65,000/- have been recovered from petitioner Palvir Singh. Petitioner Ranvir Singh is involved in three more FIRs, whereas, petitioner Palvir Singh is involved in one more FIR. He further informs that the challan stands presented but the charges are yet to be framed. The prosecution has cited eighteen witnesses in all.

Heard.

The petitioners are not named in the FIR. They have been named in the supplementary statement recorded after three days of the alleged incident. The similarly situated co-accused, namely, Jaspreet Singh, has been admitted to bail by this Court. The trial is yet to commence as the charges have not been framed so far.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, these petitions are allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

26.10.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No

ATUL SETHI
2016.10.26 18:04
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh