

113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-6778 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.2.2016

Ravina and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 22.2.2016. The certificate of marriage has been placed on record as Annexure-P-5. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-6. The true typed copy of Secondary Examination Certificate and true typed copy of Aadhar Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 (Annexures-P-1 to P-3) and true typed copy of Voter ID Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as P-4.

It comes to the notice of the Court that in the Memo of Parties, instead of Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, the protection is sought from Senior Superintendent of Police, Hisar. The learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to file amended

CRM No. M-6778 of 2016 (O/M)

-2-

Memo of Parties.

Amended Memo of Parties has been filed in Court today,
which is taken on record.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an
application dated 22.2.2016 (Annexure-P-7) before the Inspector
General of Police, Jacobpura, Civil Lines, Gurgaon-respondent No.
2, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to
their life from the private respondents No. 5 to 10.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed
of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad-
respondent No. 3, to consider the application of the petitioners dated
22.2.2016 (Annexure-P-7) and assess the threat perception to the
life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger
to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the
life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be
construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.2.2016
sjks