

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6775-2016

Date of decision: 01.03.2016

Kapil

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Pulkit Dagar, Advocate for
Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Addl.A.G. Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Kapil petitioner has filed the first application for grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C. The contents of the FIR show that Kapil along with three more persons, namely, Gagan, Parveen @ Rahul Mota and Rahul armed with illegal weapons, were looting the passersby near Gurgaon highway. The police party received an information. Thereafter, after removing the beacon light of the government vehicle, the police party reached the disclosed place. The accused also tried to rob the police party. The present petitioner was apprehended at the spot along with the pistol, whereas other persons were not armed with baseball bat and iron rod

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have

carefully gone through the file.

It comes out from the order of the learned Sessions Judge, dismissing the bail application of the petitioner, that two other cases are also registered against the petitioner. The petitioner appears to have criminal background.

In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, he is likely to commit the similar offence. Two other accused, who have been released on bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon, are differently placed, since they were not armed with pistol.

The present petition stands dismissed.

01.03.2016
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge