

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

***CRM No.M-6771 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.05.2016***

Harish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sanyam Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking benefit of regular bail to the petitioner pending trial in case FIR No.49 dated 14.07.2015, under Sections 420/465/467/468/471 IPC, registered at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR in question came to be registered on the basis of a communication dated 31.12.2014 sent by SSP, Bathinda to SSP, Mansa. Allegations were against Pawan Kumar and his accomplices as regards forging and fabricating documents and using the same in the judicial Courts at Bathinda to secure bail to different accused.

Perusal of the order dated 18.12.2015 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Mansa declining benefit of bail to the petitioner would reveal that during the course of investigation, it came forth that in FIR No.3 dated 31.01.2013, under Section 379 IPC and provisions of the Prohibition of Cow Slaughters Act, registered at Police Station City Budhladha, the present petitioner had furnished surety bonds for one accused Sultan in the

Court of learned SDJM, Budhalada on 26.02.2014 and at the time of furnishing surety bond, Harish Kumar i.e. the present petitioner had furnished jamabandi for the year 2007-08 which was found to be fabricated and forged.

The allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and even pertain to interfering with the course of administration of justice.

In the light of such serious allegations, this Court is not inclined to extend to the petitioner the benefit of bail at this stage.

Petition is dismissed.

06.05.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**