

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.1200 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.01.2016

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: None for the petitioner
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Inspector Pritpal Singh, No.36/FDR at Police Station: City-2, Mansa filed in Court is taken on record, wherein it is stated that the weapon in question was found to be spurious.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. His case considered. It was pressed in the petition that since the weapon is entered in his licence, he is not able to purchase a new genuine weapon as the police has taken the weapon into custody. Affidavit is supported by a report of the laboratory stating that the weapon is spurious.

Since it is spurious weapon, it cannot be handed over to the petitioner. To remove the difficulty faced by the petitioner, the

petitioner is granted liberty to move an appropriate application before the District Magistrate along with the copy of the reply and the copy of the report of the laboratory showing that the weapon is spurious and the District Magistrate shall accordingly consider the matter for removing the said weapon from the licence of the petitioner. If any such application is moved, the same shall be decided expeditiously and not beyond expiry of two months.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

14.01.2016

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**