

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-6677 of 2016
DECIDED ON : MAY 23, 2016

NIRMAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice could not be issued to respondents No.3 & 4 as process fee was not furnished by learned counsel for the petitioners.

This is a petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.1 & 2 not to harass the petitioner No.1 and his parents, as the petitioners had married against their wishes and consent of parents & other relatives of petitioner No.2, with further prayer to protect their lives and liberty.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 & 2 that in case any representation has been made by petitioners for protection of

their lives and liberty and if some threat perception is found at the hands of respondents No.3 & 4, the necessary protection be provided to them in accordance with law.

MAY 23, 2016
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE