

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Revision 1119 of 2013
Date of Decision : May 20, 2016

Ramesh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was tried for committing the offences punishable under Sections 279 and 304-A IPC. Vide judgment and order dated 11/12.10.2010, learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra convicted him for the offence under Section 279 IPC and sentenced him to undergo simple imprisonment for three months and to pay a fine of Rs. 500/-. He was also convicted under Section 304-A IPC and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for six months and to pay a fine of Rs. 500/-. Both the sentences were ordered to run concurrently. The fine imposed was deposited by him with the trial Court there and then.

Aggrieved of his conviction and sentences, the petitioner preferred an appeal which was, however, dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra vide judgment dated 5.2.2013. Still not satisfied, he preferred the present revision which stood admitted and the petitioner released on bail during its pendency.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate dated 12.1.2016 wherein it stands mentioned that the petitioner expired on

The petitioner had already deposited the amount of fine imposed upon him by the trial Court. Under these circumstances, the challenge in the present revision was only to the sentences of imprisonment of the petitioner. As the petitioner has since expired, the revision abates and, accordingly, disposed of.

May 20, 2016
ajay-1

(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE