

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-661 of 2016

Date of Decision: 08.01.2016

Anil Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. S.R. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on the basis of complaint made by respondent No.5, the petitioners appeared before the Women Cell at Ludhiana on 25.12.2015 and again they are being called by the police authorities. He further submits that the petitioners have joined the proceedings before the Women Cell at Ludhiana on other occasions also. The petitioners are being harassed and pressure is being put upon them to compromise the matter, whereas, they are also interested in settlement but the same could not be done.

The prayer of the present petitioners is that in case, they appear before the concerned authority, they may not be arrested without giving an advance notice to them.

Keeping in view the limited prayer, the present petition is

disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 that in case, the petitioners are required in connection with complaint dated 08.12.2015 filed by respondent No.5, an advance notice of one week be given to them.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

08.01.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE