

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

TAPINDER SINGH MANN
20/08/2016 17:10

Cr. Misc. M 656 of 2016
Date of decision: 4.8.2016

Ranjit Singh

Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

Respondent

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate.
Mr. AS Jattana, Addl. A.G. Punjab
Mr. SPS Sidhu, Advocate.

M.M.S.BEDI,J.

The petitioner is not specifically named in the FIR, registered at the instance of Darshan Singh alleging that he had been attacked by the petitioner along with others, being member of an unlawful assembly, after he had gone to the village as a representative of SGPC to look into the complaint of Sacrilege of Guru Granth Sahib.

After hearing counsel for the parties, it transpires that though the petitioner had not been attributed any specific role or injury, his name has been reflected in the supplementary statement of the complainant, which was recorded after 15 days. As co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail and regular bail; the petitioner having joined the investigation, it does not appear to be a case of custodial interrogation as the incident pertains to October 2015.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is ordered that in case of arrest of the petitioner, he will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer subject to the condition that he will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation. The petitioner will not indulge in any activity of similar nature directly or indirectly. He will also not threaten the witnesses or the complainant. In case of violation of any of the above said conditions, it will be open to the complainant to seek cancellation of bail.

August 4 ,2016
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No

