

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-645 of 2016
Date of Decision: 11.05.2016**

Sunil Kumar Yadav and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Vibha Dhiman, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 87 dated 19.2.2013 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 120-B IPC, Police Station Ambala Cantt., District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise dated 27.11.2015 arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the compromise is effected without any coercion or force and with their own wish. The trial Court has also sent the statement of parties and the compromise.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the

only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2016
Gurpreet