

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6425 of 2016.
Decided on:-23.02.2016.

Major Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to official respondents especially respondent No.2 to take legal action against the private respondents, who are interfering into the life and liberty of the petitioner and are not allowing him to go to his fields with an intention to grab his property.

Perusal of the record reveals that the parties are already in litigation by way of civil proceedings and learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Budhlada has already decreed suit filed by the petitioner-plaintiff.

The operative part of judgment dated 11.12.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Budhlada in Civil Suit No.13A of 31.5.2013 titled as ***Major Singh Versus Surjit Singh and others*** reads as under:

“As a sequel of my findings on the issues above, suit of the plaintiff succeeds and is hereby decreed with costs and defendants are restrained from interfering in the possession of plaintiff over the suit property and to dispossess him therefrom illegally and forcibly except in due course of law. Decree sheet be prepared accordingly. File be consigned to the record room.”

The aforesaid order passed by the civil Court clearly reveals that the defendants, private respondents herein, have been restrained from interfering in the possession of plaintiff, petitioner herein, over the suit property and to dispossess him therefrom illegally and forcibly except in due course of law. Therefore, no direction is required to be issued by this Court, as in case, the private respondents are not allowing the petitioner to go to his fields with an intention to grab his property, he has sufficient remedy to approach the civil Court.

Accordingly, the present petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

February 23, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE