

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.6405 of 2016

Decided on:-10.05.2016.

Shivani and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr.Rahul Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed praying for the directions for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 9 and this Court, vide order dated 23.02.2016, had directed respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, to ensure that no harm was caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9. He further contends that since FIR No.117, dated 15.08.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 363 and 366, at Police Station, Divn No.2,

Pathankot, was registered and petitioner No.2, namely, Jeevan Kumar has been arrested in the said FIR, he does not press the instant petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

May 10, 2016
Anjal

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE