

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M-6353 of 2016

Date of Decision: 21.03.2016

Anuradha and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARIPAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. D.S.Nain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARIPAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 CrPC is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.6 and 7.

Learned State counsel has placed on record the status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Sandeep Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hansi, alongwith statement of petitioner No.1-Anuradha to the effect that since the respondents have no objection to the marriage so solemnized by the petitioners, they do not need any security.

In view of the status report and the statement of petitioner No.1, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

March 21, 2016

Vinay

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**