

Sr. No.108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M- 6301 of 2016
Date of Decision: 23.02.2016**

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. R.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Counsel would vehemently argue that it is a case of version and cross-version in which even brother of the petitioner, namely, Lakhbir Singh had suffered injuries. It is further contended that the petitioner is a student of 10+2 and the final examinations are underway and if interim protection in the present case is not granted, irreparable loss would be caused.

Having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

The occurrence is stated to be of 13.12.2015. Name of the injured is Raj Singh and to whom the injury attracting offence under Section 326 IPC has been directly attributed to the present petitioner. As per allegations, the present petitioner was armed with a Kirpan and the direct role attributed is of having caused a kirpan

blow on the neck of injured Raj Singh. The medical legal report in respect of Raj Singh who was examined at Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot i.e. Govt. Hospital has been placed on record and injury No.1 has been described in the following terms:-

“Incised wound 5 x 1.0 cm obliquely placed at left antero lateral aspect of root of neck extending up to left supra clavicular area of chest wall, fresh bleeding present., Adv. Xray.”

Injury No.1 has been opined to be grievous in nature and is on a vital part of the body. Injury No.1 is attributed to none other than the present petitioner.

Prayer seeking concession of pre-arrest bail is declined.

Petition dismissed.

23.02.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**