

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-6285 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 4th JULY, 2016

Karamjeet & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanu Shree, DAG, Haryana.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has made reference to para 15 of the reply to submit that after completion of the investigation the police has decided to file cancellation report. She further submits that notice to the complainant was issued by the concerned Magistrate before accepting the cancellation report.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that because the person named in the suicide note by the deceased is a police man, the investigating machinery has favoured him and has decided to file the cancellation report.

In my opinion, since the Magistrate has issued notice to the complainants i.e. the present petitioners, before accepting the cancellation report, he would also give complete regard to the evidence available so also the suicide note and the fact that the person involved is a police man and consider the grievance of the complainants in the correct perspective. In

this view of the matter the petitioners are allowed to withdraw the instant petition, with liberty to press their case in the cancellation report before the learned magistrate.

Disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

4TH JULY, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE