

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -7434 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.07.2016

Chanan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl.A.G, Punjab
assisted by SI Balkar Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in case FIR No.78, dated 06.11.2014, registered under Sections 307, 427, 379, 506, 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Khemkaran, District Tarn Taran.

The petitioner Chanan Singh (now deceased) has been shown to be firing from his pistol, however, this fact has been controverted by learned counsel for the petitioners and it was asserted that in fact Chanan Singh was taking lunch along with his wife at Haweli Restort, Jalandhar at 2.50 kms from the place of

occurrence. This assertion of learned counsel for the petitioners is duly acknowledged in the status report filed in the connected petition bearing CRM-M No.5476 of 2015. In view of the report dated 20.07.2016 and the assertion raised by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case cannot said to be misplaced. It is further contended that in pursuance of the order dated 13.03.2015, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.03.2015, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

28.07.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No