

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.6262 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision:-04.03.2016

Charanjit Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
assisted by HC Madan Singh.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

CRM No.7328 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC
for placing on record Annexure P-8.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed
and Annexure P-8 is taken on record.

CRM-M No.6262 of 2016

Prayer is under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to
the petitioner Charanjit Singh in case FIR No.90 dated 13.07.2015 for
offences punishable under Sections 307, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code and
Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act registered with Police Station Bullowal,
District Hoshiarpur.

As per the allegations, the injured/complainant-Harpreet Singh
was attacked by the accused party comprising of the main accused, namely,
Ranjit Singh, Charanjit Singh @ Goggi, Dupinder Singh, Tanvir Singh,

Narender Nehru and Jaswant Singh Gandhi.

The accused Ranjit Singh is alleged to have fired four shots at the complainant, resulting into injuries including one on the neck.

The genesis of the quarrel is a prior dispute between the complainant-Harpreet Singh and Ranjit Singh (brother of the present petitioner).

It is contended that there is a version and cross version of the occurrence and reference is made to the MLRs at Annexure P-2 showing injuries on the present petitioner and co-accused Dupinder Singh and main accused Ranjit Singh. That apart it is submitted that petitioner is in custody since 25.7.2015 and only the complainant has since been examined and 21 witnesses remain to be examined. It is also contended that in the testimony of the complainant, except vague allegations of inflicting injuries, no role has been attributed to the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel assisted by HC Madan Singh does not dispute the custody period and the stage of trial.

Without going into the merits of the case, keeping in view the custody period, role attributed to the petitioner and the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, present petition is allowed and the petitioner **Charanjit Singh** is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, Hoshiarpur.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

March 04, 2016
Vinay