

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-6242 of 2016

Date of decision : 26.02.2016

Sonu

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sushil Gautam, DAG Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from S.I. Shyam Sunder, who is present in court, submits that out of forty one witnesses cited by the prosecution, thirty one have been examined and three are left to be examined. Rest of the witnesses have been given up. According to him, trial is likely to culminate soon.

In view of above, no ground is made out for enlarging the petitioner on bail at this stage. Disposed of.

Petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to file afresh in case trial does not conclude within a period of four months. This will also be subject to condition that accused do not delay the proceedings in any manner.

February 26, 2016

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE