

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6203 of 2016
Date of Decision: 11.08.2016

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.C.S.S.Sisodia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.A.P.S.Gill, AAG Punjab.

Mr.P.S.Miglani, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.167 dated 11.12.2013, for the offence under Sections 382, 354, 354-D IPC and lateron added offences under Sections 356 and 411 IPC and deleted Section 382 IPC registered at Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 12.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

It was alleged by the complainant that she was being followed by the petitioner from many days and on 11.12.2013 i.e. date of registration of FIR, petitioner snatched the purse of complainant/respondent No.2 forcibly which contains Rs.150/- alongwith one book of political science. On this background, the FIR was registered.

During the pendency of the trial, the matter has been resolved

between the petitioner and respondent No.2-Gagandeep Kaur, vide compromise deed dated 12.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance with the order dated 21.04.2016 passed by this Court, the parties got recorded their statements before the trial Court. Report from the Civil Judge(Jr.Divn.)-cum-JMIC, Malerkotla, Sangrur, has been received in this regard. As per report, Gagandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 and accused-petitioner appeared before the trial Court on 06.05.2016 for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In this regard statements of Gagandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 and accused-petitioner were recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter voluntarily and without any pressure and Gagandeep Kaur-complainant/respondent No.2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against the accused/petitioner. Statement of accused-petitioner was also recorded to the same effect. In view of separate statements of the parties, the Court is satisfied that the compromise is valid and genuine one.

Consequently, in view of the status report and the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Madan Mohan Abbot vs. State of Punjab 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 429**, the law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No.167 dated 11.12.2013, for the offence under Sections 382, 354, 354-D IPC and lateron added offences under

Sections 356 and 411 IPC registered at Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

11.08.2016

anil

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No.*

Whether reportable *Yes/No.*