

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Crl. Misc. No. M-6199 of 2016

Date of Decision: 31.05.2016

Yudhir Arora and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate.

Ms. Vibha Dhiman, AAG, Haryana.

Respondent No. 2 in person along with
Mr. Vimal Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 334 dated 16.10.2011 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise arrived at between the parties.

Reply has been filed by the State and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied.

Parties are present in person. Petitioners have handed over two drafts dated 23.5.2016 in the sum of Rs. 11,25,000/- each to respondent No. 2. Photocopies of the same are placed on record.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has

reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure. The trial Court has also sent the statements of parties and copy of the compromise.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

May 31, 2016
Gurpreet