

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No.6047 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.07.2016**

Rakesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present:- Mr. Davender Gors, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.S.Banyana, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 60 dated 11.09.2012 filed under Sections 406, 498-A, 506, 323, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Jhansa, District Kurukshetra and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise.

The trial Court has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. The trial Court has also sent the statements of parties.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that petitioners are the accused and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/aggrieved person in

this case.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the said FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another***, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all the consequent proceedings arisen out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

July 22, 2016
vanita