

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CRM No.M-5995 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.02.2016

Deepak Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

During the course of arguments, it has been conceded by the counsel appearing for the petitioner that a 12 marla plot was purchased by the petitioner on 09.09.2011 and the same was sold to one Indu Handa on 26.09.2011 and for which even mutation was sanctioned in favour of the purchaser i.e. Indu Handa. It is further conceded that the petitioner based on forged revenue documents and reflecting himself to be the owner of such plot which he had admittedly sold to Indu Handa in the year 2011, a loan of Rs.10 lacs had been raised from the bank in the year 2013.

In the light of such conduct of the petitioner, he is held not entitled to the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition stands dismissed.

18.02.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**