

202-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5989 of 2016 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: July 23, 2016**

Harmesh Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

**Present:** None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate  
for the complainant.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

\*\*\*\*

**A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)**

Heard learned State counsel as well as counsel for the complainant. None is present for the petitioners.

There is an interim order dated 18.02.2016 granting anticipatory bail to petitioners No.1 and 2 and further join investigation in FIR No.102 dated 26.08.2015, under Sections 232, 324, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 326, 307 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurmez Singh states that petitioners No.1 and 2 have joined investigation and their custody is not necessary, at this stage.

In that view of the matter, the interim order dated 18.02.2016 granting anticipatory bail to petitioners No.1 and 2, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)  
JUDGE**

**July 23, 2016**

mahavir