

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3434 of 2004

Date of Decision: February 02, 2016

Manju Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kaul

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner states that despite the best efforts made by him through post and even by giving telephonic calls on the number which was available with him, he has not been able to contact the petitioner and therefore, is unable to give the fresh complete correct address of the respondent.

In view of the above, the present revision petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

February 02, 2016

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**