

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No.3494 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.03.2016**

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Harmeet Singh Pathi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Manprit Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Amrik Singh Kalra, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Ashish Sanghi, DAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 10.5.2012, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, upholding the judgment of acquittal dated 4.11.2010, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hoshiarpur and dismissing the complaint filed by the present petitioner.

I have gone through the judgments of both the Courts below. Both the Courts below have given sufficient reasoning to hold that the complainant has failed to prove his case. Both the Courts below have taken one of the two possible views. The findings are not illegal or perverse. Hence, there is no ground to interfere in the concurrent findings recorded by both the Courts below.

Hence, the present petition stands dismissed.

18.03.2016

gk

VINOD KUMAR KADYAN
2016.03.28 11:40
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
Chandigarh

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**