

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-5917 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.05.2016**

Harbhajan Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Jaideep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sutikshan Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.90 dated 13.10.2015, registered under Section 304-B IPC, P.S. Begowal, District Kapurthala.

The counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is 60 years of age and she is the mother in law and in custody since 14.10.2015. The counsel further submits that the complainant has been examined and thereafter, an application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. had been moved and the trial will take time. Counsel further urges that there are no allegations against the petitioner.

The bail petition has been opposed by the complainant side as well as the State. The counsel for the complainant had urged that the anticipatory bail application of the father in law had been dismissed and

he had failed to surrender nor he has filed any fresh application for anticipatory bail and proceedings under Section 82 Cr.P.C. have been initiated against him. It has been urged that the death had taken place within three years of the marriage.

The husband is on the run. The father in law is also evading his arrest. His anticipatory bail application has been dismissed. He has failed to surrender. There are serious allegations against the petitioner. No case for bail is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

09.05.2016
sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE