

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5914-2016

Date of Decision: 25.04.2016

REKHA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Susheel Sheoran, Advocate,
for H.S. Rakhra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate,
for Mr. Shireesh Gupta,
for the respondents No. 4 to 7

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

The father of the respondent has not turned up. It is stated by learned State counsel that he is out of India and could not come. The State has also not filed the reply.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are presently residing at Bachat Bhawan, Ranjit Avenue, A-Block, Amritsar and they still having threat perception to their life and liberty.

In view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Supdt. of Police, Amritsar to assess the threat perception to life and liberty of the petitioners and if any threat is found, necessary steps shall be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

April 25, 2016