

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M 5911 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision: 01.06.2016

Madan Lal Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

versus

Harish Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is order dated 9.1.2014 (Annexure P1), passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala, in the complaint titled as Madan Lal Aggarwal vs. Shakuntla Devi and others, under Sections 406, 408, 420, 467, 471, 109 read with Section 120B IPC, which was dismissed on the point of summoning. Also challenged is the order dated 10.7.2015, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, vide which, revision against the said order was dismissed.

Heard.

The perusal of the allegations made in the complaint shows that the petitioner is aggrieved of the fact that accused had sold suit properties in which he is also having an interest being a coparcener in joint Hindu family

property. He also claimed that documents were forged. However, these allegations are found to be vague. It appears to be a civil dispute regarding inheritance. The order has already been tested in revision. No ground to interfere while exercising the powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The petition stands dismissed.

01.06.2016

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge