

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5857-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.08.2016

Inderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishavjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Jagtar Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.238 dated 27.12.2015, registered under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, at Police Station Shimla Puri, Ludhiana City.

Heard.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR.

On 14.03.2016, the following order was passed:-

“As per prosecution version, an alleged recovery of 150 grams of intoxicant powder was effected from Charanjit Singh, co-accused. Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, it is alleged that he managed to escape from the spot. Petitioner is sought to be implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused, Charanjit Singh. Furthermore, it may be noted that co-accused, Charanjit Singh has been granted interim bail awaiting the report of the Chemical Examiner vide order dated 09.02.2016 passed in

201608111245C
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

CRM No.M-3984 of 2016.

List on 04.07.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 14.03.2016, is made absolute, subject to the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

11.08.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No