

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5849-2016
Date of decision:17.02.2016.

Gulshan Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present:- Mr.Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of a direction to the respondents to register an FIR against the private respondents as well as to conclude the inquiry in the present case.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that, though a representation (Annexure-P1) was moved to Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali on 13.10.2015, but till date no action has been taken on the said representation. The petitioner confines his prayer only to the disposal of representation(Annexure-P1) dated 13.10.2016.

Notice of motion to official respondents only at this stage.

At the asking of Court Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4-State of Punjab. Copy of the petition

be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation (Annexure-P1) and if some substance is found, to take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

17.02.2016

Sunil Devi