

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.6973 of 2015**

Date of decision: 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2016

Rajpal Dass

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH**

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana  
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

**FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Report dated 09.12.2015 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup>

Class, Bahadurgarh has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Parmod Gupta and the accused namely Rajpal, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute, the fact that offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.417 dated 22.10.2014 registered at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar under Sections 420/406/120-B/506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**January 11, 2016**

*rps*