

263.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5807-2016**

Date of decision:03.08.2016

Sukhwinder Singh @ Laddu

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

----

Present: Mr.Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

----

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Petitioner-Sukhwinder Singh @ Laddu son of Balbir Singh, resident of Village Chack Singla, Police Station Garhshankar, Hoshiarpur, has approached this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing order dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-5) whereby the bail bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner were cancelled and forfeited to the State.

Petitioner is accused in FIR No.92 dated 10.06.2013 under Sections 436/427/148/149 IPC, registered at P.S. City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that non-appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court on 22.12.2015 was because of the reason that he had wrongly noted the date as 23.12.2015 instead of 22.12.2015. Resultantly, this led to cancellation of his bail and surety bonds.

On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from H.C. Neeraj Kumar, states that non-appearance of the petitioner shows disrespect to the court and, therefore, he is not entitled to the relief claimed in this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has been regularly appearing in the court and has never jumped the bail. It is for the first time that he failed to appear before the Court on the date fixed.

Heard.

While issuing notice of motion on 17.02.2016, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 22.12.2015 i.e. the date fixed before the trial Court for the reason that he has noted a wrong date. Instead of 22.12.2015, he has noted 23.12.2015. He has further submits that before the trial Court, the case is fixed for 18.2.2016.

Notice of motion for 23.4.2016.

In case the petitioner appear before the trial Court on 18.2.2016, he shall be admitted on bail subject to his furnishing fresh bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and the trial Court has released him on bail.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and the trial Court has released him on bail, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)**  
**JUDGE**

03.08.2016  
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.  
Yes/No.