

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-5794 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 28.09.2016**

Ranbir Singh and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : Mr. B. D. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Quashing of FIR No. 226 dated 29.12.2010 under
Section 406/498-A IPC registered at Police Station Adampur,
District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), is being sought on the basis of
compromise deed dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No.2 got married with Ranbir Singh on
23.01.2007. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties
could not live together as husband and wife. From the said
wedlock, one male child namely Manveer was born. The custody

of said child is with the complainant. The relationship between them became strain and thereafter, the FIR was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the husband of respondent No.2 and his family members i.e the petitioners.

However, now the matter has been duly compromised vide compromise deed dated 09.02.2016 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of the order dated 27.04.2016, report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, has been received in this regard. As per the report, statement of the complainant/ respondent No.2 and petitioners Ranbir Singh and Baldish Kaur have been recorded. Complainant has stated that the matter has been compromised between the parties. The complainant further deposed that she does not want to proceed with the case further. The compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure, threat or undue influence. The petitioners have also deposed to the same effect. Certified copy of the order 04.03.2016 passed by Additional District Judge, Jalandhar has also been placed on record wherein the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been dismissed as withdrawn.

Consequently, in view of the status report and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **"Dr.Arvind Barsaul etc v. State of Madhya Pradesh and another"** 2008 (2) RCR (Criminal) 910, no useful purpose would be served by prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, the FIR No. 226 dated 29.12.2010 under Section 406/498-A IPC registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1), is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioners only.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

shalini

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | YES/NO |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | YES/NO |