

**289 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.5766 of 2016

Date of decision: August 10, 2016

Gurmeet Singh @ Nota

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.31 dated 23.03.2011, under Sections 420 of Indian Penal Code and 24 of Immigration Act (as also under Section 307 IPC, subsequently added in the case) registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 01.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) alleged to have been arrived at in between the parties.

2. Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the statements of both the parties are genuine and not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. Compromise entered into between the parties is valid. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another*; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466*, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.31 dated 23.03.2011, under Sections 420 of Indian Penal Code and 24 of Immigration Act (as also under Section 307 IPC, subsequently added in the case) registered at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioner.

August 10, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No