

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 5630 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 16, 2016

Vikash Sehrawat and another

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioners are in person with their counsel
Mr. Dinesh Malik.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners, who are posted as Constables/Guard in Indo Tibetan Border Police Camp, Village Madanpur, Sector 26, Panchkula, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 11.02.2016. The private respondents are settled in District Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-4.

Since both the petitioners are always armed with weapons, therefore, they have sufficient means for their private defence.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panchkula, in case, they apprehend any threat to their life and liberty from the private respondents.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2016
sarita