

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6789-2015

Date of Decision: February 10, 2016

Ajit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate, for
Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to withdraw this petition at this stage with liberty to file afresh one with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

February 10, 2016
apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE