

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-5596 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2016

Ajay Kumar Verma

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, A.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking the benefit of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.802 dated 15.10.2015 under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B I.P.C registered at Police Station, Civil Lines, Karnal.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

F.I.R is stated to have been registered on the basis of secret information having been received and the present petitioner having been nabbed while sitting in a park with a black pithu bag and from where the following alleged recovery was effected:-

“8 Ration Card of Haryana Government, 5 Copies of State Bank of India, Saving book one passbook of SBI Bank, one passbook of PNB Bank, one passbook of Union Bank which are 8 pass books, 24 Driving Licenses, 14 PAN cards, 5 ATM Cards, 43 Mobile Sims, 4 Mobiles, Hard Disc on that WD elements was written, Laptop HP along with one charger, 2 Dongels, 1 Inkipad, 1 Stepler, Fevi quick, 10 passport sizes, 8 seals, 1 red colour passport.”

Petitioner was arrested on 15.10.2015.

Investigation in the case is complete, challan stands presented and the matter is before the Trial Court for framing of charges.

It is the contention raised by counsel for the petitioner that even though, there is a heavy recovery of fake documents in the nature of A.T.M Cards, Driving Licenses, PAN Cards etc. planted upon the petitioner, yet, in the final report submitted under Section 173 Cr.P.C the link evidence has not come forth as regards the beneficiaries/persons who had allegedly been issued such fake documents and were benefitted by the same. It is contended that the allegations of the petitioner having prepared forged and fake documents would be a matter of evidence to be led before the Trial Court.

It is not the case made out on behalf of the State that the petitioner, if, granted benefit of bail would be in a position to hamper and interfere the course of trial. The trial is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

Without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of C.J.M./Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

It is, however, made clear that the observations contained in this order are confined only as regards prayer of bail to the petitioner and would have no bearing on the merits of the case.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

08.04.2016
lucky