

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5506-2016

Date of Decision: February 16, 2016

Kaushalya Bai

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to the Director General of Police, Punjab, to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the contents of the representation, which is duly

supported by an affidavit, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Punjab, to decide the representation (Annexure P-1) within one month of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 16, 2016
Pkapoor