

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5496-2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 15.2.2016

Rattan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 8.2.2016, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Narnaul, vide which the application filed by the petitioner for transfer of cases titled as State Versus Anil and others and Criminal Complaint No. 369/12 dated 12.11.2012, titled as Anil Versus Sumer and others, filed under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 506, 363, 366, 341, 120-B 379, 380 IPC, was dismissed.

The only ground taken in the petition is that the party is proclaiming in the village that they will procure the acquittal. The same was disbelieved by the learned Sessions Judge, Narnaul. There is no ground to interfere in the impugned order. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.2.2016
sjks