

118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-5463 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 17.2.2016

Zubair and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Dr. Deipa Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Challenged in the present petition is the order dated 8.9.2015 (Annexure-P-3), passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad, as well as order dated 17.11.2015 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, whereby the prayer to recall CW1 Vinod Dixit for further cross examination was declined.

Heard.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, some of the questions were not put to the witness (Vinod Dixit) by the previous counsel. Now, the counsel has been changed. Therefore, further examination of the witness is necessary.

I am of the view that the change of counsel is no ground to recall the witness for further cross examination. The witness appeared in the Court. The accused had engaged a counsel and the witness was duly cross-examined. No ground to recall the witness for further cross examination is made out. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.2.2016
sjks