

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-5434 of 2016

Date of Decision: 30.03.2016

Jasbir Kaur & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 CrPC is for issuance of directions to respondents no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 to 7.

On 29.2.2016, following order was passed by this Court:-

“The present petition has been filed praying for the directions for protection of life and liberty of the

petitioners, who have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents.

Though, this Court, vide order dated 12.02.2016 has directed petitioner No.2-Jaswant Singh to bring an FDR in the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- from a nationalized bank for a term of three years carrying maximum rate of interest of the name of petitioner No.1-Jasbir Kaur, however, learned counsel for the petitioners has produced a photocopy of LIC Policy first premium receipt bearing Policy No.474012686, commencing from 28.02.2016 to maturity on 28.02.2025. The same is taken on record.

Adjourned to 30.03.2016.

Divisional Manager, LIC, Amritsar Division, as well as the Agent of LIC, who is issued the said policy, are directed to come present before this Court along with the record on the adjourned date.”

Pursuant to aforesaid order dated 29.2.2016, Mr. Vijay Kumar, Administrative Officer (LIC) Amritsar Division as well as Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, LIC Agent are present in Court. Mr. Vijay Kumar says that in fact he was approached by petitioner no.2 for the said policy and accordingly, he has issued the aforesaid LIC policy to him.

The petitioners who are also present in Court agree that they would like to continue with the said policy.

Taking into consideration the fact that the petitioners have happily accepted the LIC policy instead of FDRs, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 –

Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur to take appropriate action on the representation dated 10.2.2016 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners, as warranted by law, so that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents no.4 to 7.

March 30, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE