

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5325-2016

Date of decision: August 03, 2016.

Lakhwinder Kumar and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri Vishal Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. In FIR No.185 dated 28.4.2010, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar, the petitioners are prosecuted for offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC. Today, motion is made for compounding of the said offences on the ground that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel for the complainant is present and states that indeed compromise has taken place and he does not want to prosecute further. There is a statement recorded by JMIC, Amritsar that the compromise was being effected without any pressure.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed in terms of compromise Annexure P-2 and FIR No.185 dated 28.4.2010, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar, for offences under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

August 03, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No